

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/622,544	KALINDJIAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1624

**All Participants:**

(1) Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ms. Mary Till.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 November 2004

**Time:** 3:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Some text was left undeleted in claim 31. A telephone call was made to Ms. Till to request deletion.*

*A brief description of the drawings is missing. This will be entered by Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)